



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Assessing speech perception in children: Current practice and considerations

Cincinnati Children's Medical Hospital

30.11 2015

Cecilia Nakeva von Mentzer, SLP, PhD

Unit for Speech Language Pathology, Dpt of Neuroscience

Uppsala University

SWEDEN



Overview

- Background
 - Speech language pathology in Sweden
- Definition
- Requirements
 - Variables to consider
- Challenges
 - Information at different levels
- Clinical groups



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Sweden, northern Europe



Uppsala, the cradle of Sweden

15-11-30

Cecilia Nakeva von Mentzer, Uppsala
University, Sweden



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Uppsala (1286) and Uppsala university (1477)



15-11-30

Cecilia Nakeva von Mentzer, Uppsala
University, Sweden




UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Uppsala högar – The Uppsala mounds



**Goce, Santi and Veronika
winter 2015**



**Lake Mälaren, where we skate
during winter**

15-11-30

Cecilia Nakeva von Mentzer, Uppsala
University, Sweden



Speech Language Pathology - Sweden

- Started in 1965 at Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm
- 2015 celebrated it's 50th anniversary
 - At present 1900 authorized SLPs
 - 80 PhDs
- 4 years of academic studies ((professional degree)
 - Studies in Phonetics, Linguistics, Psychology, Medicine, Speech and Language Pathology including clinical practice and a Master's thesis



Predecessor: Alfhild Tamm, 1912: “Hearing dumbness”

- “Hearing dumbness” is described in the chapter on aphasia, also “psychological deafness”
 - Undeveloped sense for word timbre = “word dumbness”
- Dr Tamm separates hearing dumbness (hörstumhet) from deafness (dövstumhet)
 - Motor
 - Sensory
- Caused by reduced memory and attention
- Important to support with **written language**



Definition

Speech perception also called speech recognition, refers to how (well) people use auditory and/or visual information to understand spoken messages

N. Tye-Murray, 2014

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis
New Zealand's University of Canterbury



Definition

Speech perception - a key skill

Provides important information regarding overall auditory perception skills

Can be of value in outlining the prognosis of

Speech

Language

Reading

Cognition



Challenges

- Speech perception cannot be directly measured but only inferred from a child's responses
- If the child has insufficient cognitive resources, is unable or unwilling to participate there will be a gap between his/hers performance and actual speech perception ability



Requirements of assessments

Speech perception measures form the basis for:

Amplification and programming strategies in hearing aids and cochlear implants

Language learning strategies


Auditory and/or perceptual training

Following children's progress



Requirements of assessments

a s s e s s i n g s p e e c h p e r c e p t i o n i n c h i l d r e n





Requirements of assessments

/ba/, /da/, /ga/ **Accurate measurements of a child's ability to perceive:**
Tallal, 1980

/ba/, /βa/

Goswami, 2011

White-Schwoch
et al., 2015

/da/

Phonetic segments and patterns

Vance & Martindale, 2012

Nonword discrimination ta

Words

Nakeva von Mentzer et al., ongoing

Minimal word pairs,

7 phonetic categories,

Close procedure

Voice fricative contrast

/ʼasə/

/ʼazə/

/ʼisə/

/ʼizə/

Sentences

HINT – Nilsson, 1994

LiSN, Cameron & Dillon, 2007

Connected discourse

Best et al., 2015

Ongoing speech comprehension test

Short everyday passages

On-the-go questions



Variables to consider

Internal

Chronological age
Cognitive level
Language and vocabulary level

External

Designation of an appropriate response task
Utilization of reinforcement
Memory load inherent in the task

Methodological

Administration of the test
Live versus recorded stimuli
Open-set versus closed-set test construction

Results obtained may not adequately represent a listener's performance in natural conversations

Articulatory errors cannot easily be differentiated from perceptual errors
-Shy children



Variables to consider

Character of the speech stimuli

Age of acquisition

Word frequency effects

Lexical neighborhood effects



Speech information at different levels

- Rhythm, chunks, feet, and segments**

Prosodic features

Stress and intonation

Vowel duration

Metrics

Syllables

Onset-rhyme


Consonants

Chunks > the syllable



Hearing vs speech discrimination acuity

The phonemes are in our brain!





The auditory-phonetic interface

- So far, no theory has been able to reliably indicate what features in the signal are the crucial ones for perceiving the intended message



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

The auditory-phonetic interface

Top
down



Bottom-up



- Interaction of these levels in heard speech perception



We must not forget...

The beauty of the auditory organ

And the uniqueness of human language

Responsible for exceptional sound analysis



So what do we need?



- A combination of measures that assess different levels of the speech signal

as well as

- Test results from several areas of perception, each of which thought to reflect some aspect of the underlying construct of speech perception



Clinical groups of children of interest to the SLP

- Children with...
 - Speech sound disorder
 - Language impairment
 - Dyslexia (word decoding difficulties that may occur in a variety of different groups of children)
 - Autism
 - Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder
 - Hearing loss



Perceptual deficits in clinical groups

- **Poor Temporal Resolution of perceptual systems** (Tallal & Piercy, 1973)
 - Effects particularly detrimental to language learning
 - Acoustically less salient contrasts, i.e. segments in unstressed positions or of short duration particularly affected
- **Poor amplitude resolution** (Goswami et al, 2002, 2004, 2011)
 - Has implications for phonemic categorisations
- **Poor neural synchrony, inhibition** (Kraus et al., 2000, Schwach et al., 2015a,b,c)
 - The neural coding of speech in noise plays a **fundamental role in language development**







Was Tallal entirely wrong?

Brief sounds, Short Inter Stimulus Intervals

- Lawrence Leonard states (2000, p. 145):
“The conclusion that children with SLI have difficulty processing brief or rapidly presented stimuli seems indisputable. These findings are so consistent and demonstrable across tasks and stimulus variations that it is difficult to imagine that they are not an important piece of the SLI puzzle.”



Amplitude rise time, illustrated



— amplitude rise time (RT)/ attack



Goswami et al., dyslexia

- Excellent phonetic discrimination (changes of formant transition duration)

But difficulties with the basic auditory processing of

- Slower amplitude modulation cues

Difficulties in perceiving phonetic contrasts on the basis of amplitude envelope cues



Kraus et al., White-Schwoch et al.

Background noise degrades the neural processing of speech in preschoolers

- Is worse in response to consonant transitions relative to vowels
 - degraded in terms of magnitude, timing, spectral content, temporal coding of the speech envelope, and with respect to the stability across stimulus trials



Kraus et al., White-Schwoch et al

Instead of testing children's sentence and word recognition in noise, they suggest using a

- Composite measure of the neural coding of consonants in noise, integrating
 - peak latency, response stability, and representation of the harmonics
- Measure suprathreshold responses to consonants in noise
- Tax the developing auditory brain to reveal systematic individual differences in inhibitory processing.



Conclusion

Speech perception assessments are important but...

Results need to be interpreted in relation to a variety of underlying internal and external factors.

Interdisciplinary work is essential!



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Acknowledgements

Klaudia Ceder
Ingrid Sör
Research assistants



Karin Grandin, SLP-student



Colleagues at the Unit for SLP, Uppsala University
Mathias Hällgren, technical audiologist and Heléne
Hjertman, Audiologist, Linköping university

Grants:

Silent School Stockholm, Forte COFAS, Marie Curie
outgoing postdoc Stockholm



References

Davis, M. H., & Johnsrude, I. S. (2007). Hearing speech sounds: top-down influences on the interface between audition and speech perception. *Hear Res*, 229(1-2), 132-147. doi: 10.1016/j.heares.2007.01.014

Goswami, U., Fosker, T., Huss, M., Mead, N., & Szucs, D. (2011). Rise time and formant transition duration in the discrimination of speech sounds: the Ba-Wa distinction in developmental dyslexia. *Dev Sci*, 14(1), 34-43. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7687.2010.00955.x

Grandin, K. (in preparation). Speech perception in children with language impairment as assessed with the Listen-Say test.

Johnson, C. & Danhauer, J.L. (2002). *Handbook of Outcomes Measurement in Audiology*.

Kirk, K. I., Diefendorf, A.O., Pisoni, D. B., & Robbins, A. M. (1995). Assessing speech perception in children *Research on spoken language processing*. Progress report No.20. (pp. 163-198). Indiana University.



References

Mendel, L. L. (2008). Current considerations in pediatric speech audiometry. *Int J Audiol*, 47(9), 546-553. doi: 10.1080/14992020802252261

Nakeva von Mentzér, C., Enqvist, K., Sundström, M. & Hällgren, M. (2015). Speech perception in Swedish normally hearing children in noise and four-talker babble: effects of phonetic contrast, auditory background and gender. *Audiological News*. Nr 3 (Swedish).

Risberg, A. (2005). Studier av hörselskärpa med hjälp av analytiska taltest. Projektrapport Hjälpmedelsinstitutet.

Shafer et al. (2007). Neurophysiological indices of attention to speech in children with specific language impairment. *Clinical neurophysiology*, Jun;118(6):1230-43

Tallal, P., Miller, S. L., Bedi, G., Byma, G., Wang, X., Nagarajan, S. S., . . . Merzenich, M. M. (1996). Language comprehension in language-learning impaired children improved with acoustically modified speech. *Science*, 271(5245), 81-84.



References

Tamm, A. (1912). Om hörstumhet och uttalsfel hos barn. *Allmänna Svenska Läkartidningen*, 113-55. (Swedish)

Tye-Murray, N. (2014). *Foundations of Aural Rehabilitation: Children, Adults, and Their Family Members*.

White-Schwoch, T., Woodruff Carr, K., Thompson, E. C., Anderson, S., Nicol, T., Bradlow, A. R., . . . Kraus, N. (2015). Auditory Processing in Noise: A Preschool Biomarker for Literacy. *PLoS Biol*, 13(7), e1002196. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002196



UPPSALA
UNIVERSITET

Thanks for listening!



Questions?