

MATHEMATICAL ASSOCIATION



supporting mathematics in education

---

Forming groups with  $4 \times 4$  matrices

Author(s): J. R. HARRIS

Source: *The Mathematical Gazette*, Vol. 94, No. 531 (November 2010), pp. 426-429

Published by: The Mathematical Association

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25759726>

Accessed: 07-01-2018 22:39 UTC

---

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://about.jstor.org/terms>



JSTOR

*The Mathematical Association* is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The Mathematical Gazette*

## Forming groups with $4 \times 4$ matrices

J. R. HARRIS

The three Pauli matrices are normally given [1] as the  $2 \times 2$  matrices:

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where 'i' is the usual complex number imaginary unit.

These matrices obey the relations  $a^2 = I = b^2 = c^2$  (where  $I$  is the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix), as well as the anticommutation relations:

$$bc = -cb = ia,$$

$$ca = -ac = ib,$$

$$ab = -ba = ic.$$

Within the quantities  $ia, ib$  and  $ic, i$  is a scalar multiplier of the  $2 \times 2$  Pauli matrices and, of course, commutes with each of  $a, b, c$ .

It is noted that in complex number theory [2] the general complex number  $a + ib$  ( $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ) can be given as  $\begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$ .

In this format,  $2 \times 2$  matrices form a field that is isomorphic to the set of complex numbers. The element  $i$  is represented as  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $i^2$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ , the negative unit matrix. This is all well known so far!

The Pauli matrices can be readily expanded to become a set of three  $4 \times 4$  matrices containing only *real* entries from the set  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ . The  $i$  within the Pauli  $b$  matrix is replaced by  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Rewriting  $a, b$  and  $c$  as  $2 \times 2$  partitioned matrices:

$$a = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 \end{array} \right); \quad b = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & -i \\ \hline i & 0 \end{array} \right); \quad c = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -1 \end{array} \right).$$

We can replace the entries  $0; 1; -1; i$  by the respective  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , giving  $4 \times 4$  representations:

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad c = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If  $i$  is now represented by  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  it is found that  $i^2$  gives the

negative  $4 \times 4$  unit matrix and *all the relations* for the Pauli matrices *still hold*. So that

$$\begin{cases} a^2 = I = b^2 = c^2 \\ bc = -cb = ia \\ ca = -ac = ib \\ ab = -ba = ic \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{[all symbols used} \\ \text{are } 4 \times 4 \text{ matrices].} \end{array}$$

We would like to make the point that there are possibly several representations for  $i$  (as a  $4 \times 4$  matrix). The one chosen above is a ‘natural’ one as it is derived from the general quaternion matrix (discussed later). The  $i$  matrix chosen *commutes* with each of  $a, b$  and  $c$  (as  $4 \times 4$  matrices). This would be a basic requirement in quantum physics where  $i$  is used as a field element and commutes with all quantities. This commuting property does not necessarily hold for other possible representations for  $i$ .

The elements

$$\{I, -I, i, -i, a, b, c, -a, -b, -c, ab, -ab, ca, -ca, bc, -bc\}$$

(where  $-ab = ba, -ca = ac, -bc = cb$ ), form a *group* of order 16. Each element is a  $4 \times 4$  matrix. The matrices are each orthogonal. Elements are either self-inverse, e.g.  $a, b, c$ , or have their negative as their inverse, e.g.  $i, ab$ .

The subgroup consisting of  $\{I, -I, i, -i\}$  is *normal* of order 4.

We now examine the representation of quaternions as  $4 \times 4$  matrices and see how these can be combined with the three Pauli matrices  $a; b; c$ .

The general quaternion is represented as a  $4 \times 4$  matrix [3]

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & -q & -r & -s \\ q & p & -s & r \\ r & s & p & -q \\ s & -r & q & p \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Normally Hamilton's quaternions are written as  $p + qi + rj + sk$  where  $i, j, k$  are imaginary units and  $p, q, r, s$  are real.

The real part of the quaternion,  $p$ , appears on the principal diagonal of the matrix (as does the real part of the familiar complex number  $a + ib$  in the  $2 \times 2$  matrix representation). The quaternions form a division ring—as, it will be seen, do the  $4 \times 4$  matrix representations.

By setting  $p = 0; q = 1; r = 0; s = 0$ , we obtain a representation for  $i$ . By setting  $p = 0; q = 0; r = 1; s = 0$ , we obtain  $j$  and by setting  $p = 0; q = 0; r = 0; s = 1$ , we obtain  $k$ .

We have now

$$i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^* \quad j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It can be verified that all the Hamilton relations among  $i, j, k$  hold with these matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} i^2 &= -I & ; & & ij &= k & ; & & ij &= -ji & ; \\ j^2 &= -I & ; & & jk &= i & ; & & jk &= -kj & ; \\ k^2 &= -I & ; & & ki &= j & ; & & ki &= -ik & . \end{aligned}$$

$i, j, k$  anticommute in pairs in similar fashion to the three Pauli matrices  $a, b, c$ .

We note that  $i, j, k$  can be combined with (multiplied with)  $a, b, c$ . It has already been mentioned that  $i$  commutes with each  $a, b, c$  but, remarkably, the  $j$  matrix and the  $k$  matrix *anticommute* with each of  $a, b, c$ . We now have the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} ia &= ai & ; & & ja &= -aj & ; & & ka &= -ak & ; \\ ib &= bi & ; & & jb &= -bj & ; & & kb &= -bk & ; \\ ic &= ci & ; & & jc &= -cj & ; & & kc &= -ck & . \end{aligned}$$

[From above, we already have  $ia = bc; ib = ca; ic = ab$ .]

By grafting the six matrices:  $ja, jb, jc, ka, kb, kc$  and their negatives  $-ja, -jb, -jc, -ka, -kb, -kc$  onto the 16 elements (as discussed above), we obtain 28 elements. By, including  $j$  and  $k$  themselves, with their negatives  $-j$  and  $-k$ , we obtain exactly 32 elements (exactly *double* the order of the group discussed previously) which will form a group of order 32.

The set of elements is:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} I, \quad -I, \quad i, \quad -i, \quad j, \quad -j, \quad k, \quad -k, \quad a, \quad b, \quad c, \quad -a, \quad -b, \quad -c, \\ ab, \quad ba, \quad ca, \quad ac, \quad bc, \quad cb, \quad ja, \quad -ja, \quad jb, \quad -jb, \quad jc, \quad -jc \\ \quad \quad \quad ka, \quad -ka, \quad kb, \quad -kb, \quad kc, \quad -kc \end{array} \right\}$$

Associativity of elements holds because all matrices obey this property. All elements are self-inverse *or* have their negative as inverse—the same as for the 16 element group above. In fact, the 32 element group has the 16 element group as a normal subgroup within it. As well as this, the 8 elements  $\{I, -I, i, -i, j, -j, k, -k\}$  form another normal subgroup of the 32 element one (though it is *not* a subgroup of the 16 element group).

The 8 element subgroup is the familiar ‘quaternion group’. It should be mentioned here that some textbooks, notably [4], present this group in a rather indirect way.

\* as cited above.

On the closure property of the 32 element group, we feel this is an excellent exercise, checking that pairs multiplied together give another in the set. For example:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad (a) \times (ja) &= (aj) \times (a) = (-ja) \times (a) \\
 &= -j(a \times a) \\
 &= -jI \\
 &= -j
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad (ab) \times (jc) &= (ic) \times (jc) = i \times (cj) \times c \\
 &= i \times (-jc) \times c \\
 &= -ij \times c^2 \\
 &= -k \times I \\
 &= -k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Some striking results have arisen by combining the  $4 \times 4$  Pauli matrices with the  $4 \times 4$  quaternion units:

- 1) All groups formed have order  $2^N$  for  $N = 2, 3, 4, 5$ . Further to this, if  $N$  is an *odd* power,  $(2^3, 2^5)$ , the group contains all of  $i, j, k$ . Whereas if  $N$  is an *even* power,  $(2^2, 2^4)$ , the group contains only the  $i$  element with  $a, b, c$ . This shows that the  $2^5$  (or 32) element group is an extension of the  $2^3$  (or 8) element quaternion group.
- 2) All the  $4 \times 4$  matrix elements are *orthogonal matrices*. (The transpose of each matrix gives its inverse.)
- 3) Any subgroups of a given group are *normal* (*invariant* in some textbooks) and thereby further groups, such as *quotient groups*, could be created.
- 4) The fact that the *five* quantities  $\{a, b, c, j, k\}$  *anticommute*, for any pair selected, is significant as this value five occurs with the 5 Dirac matrices in quantum physics. Any pair from the 5 Dirac matrices anticommute [1].
- 5) The fact that  $j$  and  $k$  each anticommute with  $a, b, c$  (and *not*  $i$ ) suggests a *symmetry breaking* of the units  $i, j, k$ . This is perhaps a surprising result as Hamilton's relations between  $i, j, k$  are cyclically symmetric.

### References

1. E. A. Maxwell, *Algebraic structure and matrices*, Cambridge University Press (1965) p. 192.
2. E. T. Copson, *Theory of functions of a complex variable*, Oxford University Press (1935) pp. 1-5.
3. W. W. Sawyer, *A path to modern mathematics*, Penguin (1966) p. 142.
4. W. Ledermann, *Introduction to the theory of finite groups* (4th edn.), Oliver & Boyd (1961).

J. R. HARRIS

6 Willowdene Court, Bembridge PO35 5SS